## Luke introduces us to Saul

- 1. Observation and exposition of Acts 8:1-3
- 2. The take-away for us?

## **Observation and exposition of Acts 8:1-3**

- 1. Read Acts 8:1-3
- 2. "And Saul approved of his execution."
  - a. "approved" along with **7:58** could signify that he led the opposition against Stephen
  - b. Saul may have belonged to the synagogue where Stephen had been speaking
    - i. Compare **6:8-10** and **22:3**
    - ii. Not out of the question that Saul also saw, heard, or possibly interacted with Jesus when Jesus was in Jerusalem.
  - c. Why was Saul so against Christianity?
    - i. He understood, as did Stephen, that Judaism and Christianity were not compatible, not complementary, not both right. Christianity was not just a sect or offshoot of Judaism.
      - 1. See Galatians 3:13
  - d. Saul did not take the moderate approach that Gamaliel, his teacher, took.
    - i. Do a character sketch of Saul on the whiteboard.
    - ii. 5:33-40
    - iii. 22:1-3
    - iv. Philippians 3:4-6
    - v. 1 Timothy 1:12-17
    - vi. The zeal of youth, perhaps?
      - 1. My example at RBC
- 3. "on that day a great persecution"
  - a. Who was involved?
  - b. What caused or triggered this persecution?
    - i. See **11:19**
  - c. What was the result of this persecution?
    - i. 8:4, 11:19-20
- 4. "against the church in Jerusalem"
  - a. First introduced to this word "church" in **5:11** (or **2:47**)
  - b. Used twice here

- c. Again in **9:31** to wrap up this segment about Saul
- d. Then it becomes much more frequent in Acts
- 5. "they were all scattered throughout the regions of Judea and Samaria"
  - a. All? Does this mean every single believer in Jerusalem at that time? Context!!
  - b. Hyperbole
    - i. "exaggeration for effect"
    - ii. "exaggerated statements or claims not meant to be taken literally"
    - iii. Examples?
      - 1. In the Bible:
        - a. Matthew 23:24
        - b. John 12:19
        - c. Judges 20:16
      - 2. In English:
        - a. "Raining cats and dogs"
    - iv. Justin Taylor article
  - c. So is this verse hyperbole?
    - i. Some say yes
      - 1. "except the apostles"
      - 2. "devout men buried Stephen"
        - a. Who were these devout men? Jews who disagreed with Stephen's execution? Or Christians still in Jerusalem?
      - 3. "Saul was ravaging the church..."
        - a. But if they were "all" scattered, who was left to ravage?
      - 4. Compare **11:19-20**
    - ii. Some say no
      - 1. The persecution was primarily against Hellenistic Jewish Christians, like Stephen.
  - d. In my observations and opinion, Luke tends to use hyperbole and other figures of speech, and then sometimes qualifies what he means.
  - e. One more example (not from Luke-Acts)?
    - i. John 1:29 and Ephesians 5:25
- 6. Where were the Romans? Did they allow this kind of behavior? i.e. Saul "entering house and after house" and dragging men and women to prison?
- 7. If this persecution had not happened, would the gospel have spread as intended?

## The take-away for us

## Resources

- 1. The Acts of the Apostles, F. F. Bruce
- 2. The Book of the Acts, F. F. Bruce
- 3. The Acts of the Apostles, David G. Peterson
- 4. The Message of Acts, John Stott
- 5. The Acts of the Apostles, Ben Witherington III
- 6. <u>http://www.tentmaker.org/Biblematters/hyperbole.htm</u>
- 7. https://www.thegospelcoalition.org/blogs/justin-taylor/did-jesus-ever-exagerrate/